UV-IR connections in scattering amplitudes: a power of unitarity and causality

Anna Tokareva

In collaboration with Marianna Carillo-Gonzales, Sumer Jaitly, Victor Pozsgay, Claudia de Rham, Mario Herrero-Valea, Alexey Koshelev

September 5, 2024

Based on arXiv:2307.04784, 2205.13332



Iondon

## EFT framework: UV - IR connections



Assumptions about UV constraints on IR (positivity bounds)

- IR results may require special UV properties for consistency
- The symmetry working in UV and IR can constrain the structure of IR EFT

Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study



## A 'good' UV completion

What do we mean by 'good'?

- Lorenz-invariant  $\Rightarrow A = A(s, t, u)$
- unitary  $\Rightarrow Im A > 0$

Imperial College

London

- satisfying causality  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}(s, t, u)$  is analytic everywhere except real axes
- $\blacktriangleright$  local  $\Rightarrow$  polynomial boundedness (Froissart-Martin bound)

$$A\left(s\right) < s\log^2 s$$

$$m^2$$
  $m^2$   $m^2$ 



#### What is positive in positivity bounds?



▲ □ ▶ ▲ 雪 ▶ ▲ 급 ▶ ▲

Sar



Imperial College

London

#### Positivity bounds: massive vs massless

#### **Dispersive relations**



$$\Sigma_{m} = \frac{1}{2} A_{ss}(\mu^{2}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{A(s)ds}{(s-\mu^{2})^{3}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{4m^{2}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{ImA(s)ds}{(s-\mu^{2})^{3}} + \frac{ImA_{*}(s)ds}{(s+\mu^{2}-4m^{2})^{3}} \right)$$
$$\Sigma_{0} = \frac{A_{ss}(\mu^{2})}{16} - \frac{3iA_{s}(\mu^{2})}{16\mu^{2}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{s^{3}A(s)ds}{(s^{2}+\mu^{4})^{3}} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{ImA(s)s^{3}ds}{(s^{2}+\mu^{4})^{3}} + \text{crossed}$$

< □ > < □

QQ

Herrero-Valea, Santos-Garcia, AT'20

London



#### Advance further: non-linear bounds



#### Photon EFT and amplitudes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \\ &+ \frac{c_1}{\Lambda^4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{c_2}{\Lambda^4} F^{\mu\nu} F^{\alpha\beta} F_{\mu\alpha} F_{\nu\beta} \\ &+ \frac{c_3}{\Lambda^6} F^{\alpha\mu} F^{\nu\beta} \partial_{\mu} F_{\beta\gamma} \partial_{\nu} F_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma} + \frac{c_4}{\Lambda^6} F^{\alpha\mu} F^{\nu\beta} \partial_{\beta} F_{\mu\gamma} \partial^{\gamma} F_{\alpha\nu} + \frac{c_5}{\Lambda^6} F^{\alpha\mu} F^{\nu\beta} \partial_{\beta} F_{\nu\gamma} \partial^{\gamma} F_{\alpha\mu} \\ &+ \frac{c_6}{\Lambda^8} F^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} F_{\nu\rho} \partial^{\rho} \partial^{\alpha} F^{\beta\gamma} \partial_{\alpha} F_{\beta\gamma} + \frac{c_7}{\Lambda^8} F^{\mu}{}_{\gamma} \partial_{\mu} F_{\nu\rho} \partial^{\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} \partial^{\rho} \partial^{\gamma} F^{\alpha\beta} \\ &+ \frac{c_8}{\Lambda^8} F^{\mu\gamma} \partial_{\mu} F_{\nu\rho} \partial^{\rho} \partial^{\beta} F_{\alpha\gamma} \partial^{\alpha} F^{\nu}{}_{\beta} \,. \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{u}(s,t,u) = \sum_{h_{i}} \alpha_{h_{1}} \beta_{h_{2}} \alpha^{*}_{-h_{3}} \beta^{*}_{-h_{4}} \mathcal{A}_{h_{1}h_{4}h_{3}h_{2}}(s,t,u) = \sum_{h_{i}} \alpha_{h_{1}} \beta^{*}_{-h_{2}} \alpha^{*}_{-h_{3}} \beta_{h_{4}} \mathcal{A}_{h_{1}h_{2}h_{3}h_{4}}(s,t,u)$$

$$\alpha_{+} = \cos \theta$$
,  $\alpha_{-} = \sin \theta e^{i\phi}$ ,  $\beta_{+} = \cos \chi$ ,  $\beta_{-} = \sin \chi e^{i\psi}$ .

## $\begin{aligned} & \text{Indefinite polarisation scattering} \\ \mathcal{A}_{\texttt{ih}} = \frac{1}{2} (\cos(2\theta)(\mathcal{A}_{++--} - \mathcal{A}_{+--+})\cos(2\chi) + \mathcal{A}_{++--} + 4\mathcal{A}_{+---}\sin(\chi)\cos(\chi)\cos(\chi) + \mathcal{A}_{+--+} \\ & + \sin(2\theta)\sin(2\chi)(\mathcal{A}_{++++}\cos(\psi + \phi) + \mathcal{A}_{+-+-}\cos(\phi - \psi)) + 4\mathcal{A}_{+---}\sin(\theta)\cos(\theta)\cos(\phi)) \,. \end{aligned}$

▲ロメ ★御 ★ ★ 国 ★ ▲ 国 ≯





#### Linear bounds

 $g_{2} + f_{2} \sin(2\theta) \sin(2\chi) \cos(\psi + \phi) > |g_{3} \cos(2\theta) \cos(2\chi)| ,$   $6g_{2} > 6g_{3} + 8h_{3} \sin(\chi) \cos(\chi) \cos(\psi) + 8h_{3} \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) \cos(\phi) + \sin(2\theta) \sin(2\chi) \cos(\psi + \phi) (-6f_{2} + 2f_{3})$   $f_{2} \sin(2\theta) \sin(2\chi) \cos(\psi + \phi) + g_{2} > 2f_{4} \sin(2\theta) \sin(2\chi) \cos(\psi + \phi) + g_{4} > 0 ,$   $\sin(2\chi)(2\sin(2\theta)(3f_{2} - f_{3} + 8f_{4}) \cos(\psi + \phi) - 4h_{3} \cos(\psi)) + 6g_{2} + 8g_{4}$  $> 6g_{3} + 4(2g_{4} + g'_{4}) \cos(2\theta) \cos(2\chi) + 4h_{3} \sin(2\theta) \cos(\phi) .$ 

#### Should be valid for any values of angles

・ロット (雪) (ヨ) (ヨ)

Non-linear bounds

London

 $(g_2 + f_2 \cos(\phi + \psi) \sin(2\theta) \sin(2\chi)) (g_4 + 2f_4 \cos(\phi + \psi) \sin(2\theta) \sin(2\chi)) > g_3^2 \cos^2(2\theta) \cos^2(2\chi)$ 

 $3\sqrt{(g_2 + f_2\cos(\phi + \psi)\sin(2\theta)\sin(2\chi))(g_4 + 2f_4\cos(\phi + \psi)\sin(2\theta)\sin(2\chi))}$ >  $3g_3 + 2h_3(\sin(2\theta)\cos\phi + \sin(2\chi)\cos\psi) + f_3\cos(\phi + \psi)\sin(2\theta)\sin(2\chi).$ 

Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, UCAS

#### Analytic optimisation:



## Definitions of causality

## Our assumptions

Property	Causality Bounds	Positivity Bounds			
Lorentz	• Lorentz invariant EFT	• Invariant EFT and UV completion			
invariance		• Crossing symmetry			
Unitarity	• Hermitian Hamiltonian:	Positive discontinuity			
	real Wilson coefficients	of the EFT and UV amplitude			
Causality	• No resolvable time advance	• Analyticity of amplitude			
		in the complex $s$ plane for fixed $t$			
Locality	• IR theory is local	• IR and UV theories are local			
		• Froissart-like bound in the UV			
Other	• EFT and WKB expansions under control				
assumptions	• Background generated by	• IR EFT is under perturbative control			
	localized external source				







SQ (~

-

Ξ

#### Positivity bounds

Many inequalities bounding 5 parameters...  $f_2 = 0$  We plot slices of 5D figure



▲ 予

◀ □ ▶

QQ



#### Positivity bounds



Dim 6 operators are squeezed between dim 4 and dim 8

590

Ξ

同

< □ →

國科大杭州髙等研究院 Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, UCAS



#### Definitions of causality

No time machine - what does it mean?



 $\Delta T$  - time delay



#### $\Delta T > 0$ - strict causality condition rules out all higher derivative terms

X. O. Camanho, J. D. Edelstein, J. Maldacena and A. Zhiboedov, *Causality Constraints on Corrections to the Graviton Three-Point Coupling*, *JHEP* **02** (2016) 020 [1407.5597].





SQC

₹

Ξ







**Imperial College** 

London



1

<ロ> < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



< □ > < □ >

SQ (~

Ξ

=





#### Summary of the photon bounds

- Indefinite helicity scalttering provides stronger bounds on EFT of photons. The optimal choice of polarization state may depend on the EFT couplings.
- Causality of the photon propagation is a condition independent of the assumptions about the UV completion expected to be weaker than unitarity
- For  $g_4 f_4$  couplings positivity is stronger. Causality fails to give a compact bound.
- For  $g_3 h_3 f_3$  couplings positivity and causality are complementary
- Some regions naively allowed by unitarity correspond to acausal propagation - positivity bounds can be improved further.

< □ > < 同 > < 言 > < 言 >



London

Dispersive relations with graviton exchange

Divergences at  $t \rightarrow -0$ 

London



$$A(s, t \to -0) = A_0 \frac{s^2}{M_P^2 t} + A_1 \frac{s^2}{M_P^4} \log\left(\frac{-t}{\mu_0^2}\right) + \text{higher loops} + O(t)$$
$$\Sigma_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{A_0}{t} + A_1 \log t\right) + (\text{loops}) + O(t)$$

◀ □ →

Where are the same divergences in the right hand side?



#### How to cancel 1/t and log t?

The only source of the divergences is an infinite tail of the integral.

$$\int_{M^2}^{\infty} \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}(s,t) ds\left(\frac{1}{s^3} + \frac{1}{(s+t)^3}\right) = f(t) + (\text{finite at } t \to 0)$$

Assume that after some scale M

$$\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{A} = s^{2+jt} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi}{\log s} \right)$$

J. Tokuda, K. Aoki, and S. Hirano, JHEP 11, 054 (2020), arXiv:2007.15009 [hep-th]. This form allows to get 1/t and log t (Herrero-Valea, Santos-Garcia, AT'20). Generalisation:

$$\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{A} = s^{2+jt}\phi(s,t)$$

$$\phi(s,t) = \phi(s,0) + \phi_t(s,0)t + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{tt}(s,0)t^2 + \dots \qquad s = M^2 e^{\sigma}$$
$$\int_0^\infty 2\phi(s,0)s^{jt}\left(\frac{ds}{s}\right) = \int_0^\infty 2M^{2jt}\phi(\sigma,0)e^{j\sigma t}d\sigma = f(t) + (\text{finite at } t \to 0)$$

< □ > < 戸



#### UV and IR are connected by the Laplace transformation

$$\phi(\sigma,0) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}[f(t)] + O(t)$$

Next orders in t? Up to subleading terms in  $t \rightarrow 0$  limit:

$$\phi(\sigma, 0) = a_0 L^{-1}[f(t)],$$
  

$$\phi_t(\sigma, 0) = a_1 L^{-1} \left[\frac{f(t)}{t}\right],$$
  

$$\phi_{tt}(\sigma, 0) = a_2 L^{-1} \left[\frac{f(t)}{t^2}\right], \dots$$

$$f(t) = rac{A}{t}, \ \phi(\sigma, t) = \sum a_n \sigma^n t^n = \phi(\sigma t) = \phi(t \log s), \ \phi(0) \neq 0$$

Recall that  $A(s) < s^2$  at any  $t \neq 0$ . The dispersion relation allows to get the UV amplitude in the limit  $t \log s \rightarrow 0$  while  $t \rightarrow 0$  and  $s \rightarrow \infty$ .

Herrero-Valea, Koshelev, AT, arXiv:2205.13332

London



Reconstructing the amplitude from the imaginary part

$$ImA(s,t) = i\gamma s^{2+jt}$$

$$\mathcal{A}(s,t) = \frac{s^2}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma_s} \frac{\mathcal{A}(z,t)dz}{z^2(z-s)} = F(s,t) + F(-s-t,t)$$

$$F(s,t) = \frac{s^2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{Im\mathcal{A}(z,t)dz}{z^2(z-s)} = \frac{s^2}{\pi} \int_0^{M_*^2} \frac{Im\mathcal{A}(z,t)dz}{z^2(z-s)} + \frac{s^2}{\pi} \int_{M_*^2}^\infty \frac{a_0 z^{jt} dz}{z-s} + \mathcal{O}(t\log(s))$$

$$A(s,t) = -\frac{\gamma e^{-i\pi jt}}{\sin(\pi \alpha' t)} (s^{2+\alpha' t} + (-s-t)^{2+jt}) + \mathcal{O}(t\log(s))$$

P

< 🗆 🔺

Real and imaginary parts are connected by analyticity!

Herrero-Valea, Koshelev, arXiv:2205.13332

London



## Conclusions

- UV and IR amplitudes are connected under the assumptions of unitarity, locality and analyticity of the fundamental theory
- Assumptions about UV lead to positivity bounds for IR theory
- Causality requirements does not lead to compact bounds while the positivity does. Causality doesn't rely on any UV properties (such as locality)
- However, causality bounds can improve the first EFT bounds coming from dispersive relations

With graviton exchange:

London

- IR singularities in the forward limit open the possibilities to find the form of UV amplitude in the limit  $t \log s \to 0, s \to \infty$
- Gravity invalidates positivity bounds for A''(s) but they still can be obtained from  $A^{(4)}(s)$  and higher...

◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □



# Thank you!



◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Sar





UV completion	$g_2$	$f_2$	$f_3$	$g_3$	$h_3$	$f_4$	$g_4$	$g'_4$
scalar	1	1	3	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0
axion	1	-1	-3	1	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$	1	0
scalar QED	1	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{5}{14}$	$\frac{3}{28}$	$\frac{1}{28}$	$\frac{1}{84}$	$\frac{41}{420}$	$-\frac{1}{168}$
spinor QED	1	$-\frac{3}{11}$	$-\frac{10}{77}$	$\frac{4}{77}$	$-\frac{1}{77}$	$-\frac{1}{231}$	$\frac{13}{660}$	$-\frac{5}{462}$
vector QED	1	$\frac{1}{28}$	$\frac{5}{294}$	$-\frac{47}{1764}$	$\frac{1}{588}$	$\frac{1}{1764}$	$\frac{131}{8820}$	$-\frac{\overline{23}}{1176}$
spin-2 even I*	1	1	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	-6
spin-2 even II	1	0	0	-1	0	0	1	-2
spin-2 odd $^*$	1	-1	0	1	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$	1	-6
mincoupled spin-2	1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	-1

< □ > < □ >

590





#### String amplitude

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{string}}(s,t) = -A(s^2t^2 + s^2u^2 + t^2u^2) \left. \frac{\Gamma(-s)\Gamma(-t)\Gamma(-u)}{\Gamma(1+s)\Gamma(1+t)\Gamma(1+u)} \right|_{u=-s-t}$$

J. H. Schwarz, Phys. Rept. 89, 223 (1982).

QQ

< P

< □ >

$$t \to 0, \ s \to \infty$$
  
 $\mathcal{A}_{\text{string}}(s,t) = A \frac{s^2}{t} \left( 1 + 2t \log(s) + 2t^2 \log^2(s) + \frac{4}{3} t^3 \log^3(s) + \frac{2}{3} t^4 \log^4(s) + \dots \right)$ 



#### Infinite arc contribution

Contribution from the arc R

$$\Sigma_{\infty} = -\frac{2\gamma e^{-i\pi jt}}{\sin(\pi jt)} \frac{R^{jt}}{2\pi} \frac{e^{2\pi ijt} - 1}{ijt} = -\frac{2\gamma}{\pi jt} R^{jt} = -\frac{2\gamma}{\pi jt}$$

Contribution from ImA

London

$$\Sigma_{UV} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{M_*^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}(s, t)}{s^3} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{M_*^2}^{R} \frac{ds}{s} a_0 s^{jt} = \frac{2a_0}{\pi jt} \left( R^{jt} - (M_*^2)^{jt} \right)$$

Two limits can be considered:  $R \rightarrow \infty$  with finite t < 0 and  $t \log R \rightarrow 0$ . The result is the same.

