Frobenious structures and restricred (2+1)-TQFTs

Dušan Đorđević, University of Belgrade

In collaboration with:

Zoran Petrić Danica Kosanović Jovana Nikolić

• Studying QFT is hard: pertubative methods, renormalization, infinite numer of degrees of freedom. Usual guide: symmetries!

$$
\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{C}
$$

- There are cases in condensed matter physics when certain properties of a gapped systems depend only on topology!
- We can ask for a nontrivial field theory that still works with finite dimensional Hilbert spaces.

• One physical example: Chern Simons! Take example of SU(2) gauge group and A is a gauge connection, that is locally a Lie algebra valued one form.

$$
S = \int \text{Tr} (A \wedge dA + A \wedge A \wedge A) \qquad \Rightarrow F = 0
$$

- Is this theory trivial? No! It is used in condensed matter do describe QHE, in knot theory to compute Jones polynomials and in 3D gravity.
- Wilson loop observable \rightarrow can detect nontrivial topology (anyons)!

- Previous consideration was classical, can we go to quantum level?
- We will use a formal mathematical approach, frst introduced by Atiyah [Atiyah '89].
- A TQFT is a (strong) symmetrical monoidal funtor from the category of cobordisms to the category of vector spaces.
- This approach has an advantage that is well accessible to pure mathematics; it does not require one to deal with path integrals. $D_{A_{\mu}}e^{-S[A_{\mu}]}$
- But, what does this means?

Def: A category consist of objects (a, b, c, \dots) and arrows (f, g, h, \dots) , together with rules:

- For every arrow f, there exist objects $dom(f)$, $cod(f)$
- For arrows $f : a \to b$ and $g : b \to c$ there is an arrow $g \circ f : a \to c$
- For every object *a* there is an arrow $\mathbf{1}_a : a \to a$
- Composition is associative
- For every arrow $f: a \to b$ we have $f \circ \mathbf{1}_a = \mathbf{1}_b \circ f$
- Important example: $Vect_{\mathbb{C}}$: objects are complex vector spaces, arrows are linear maps between vector spaces.
- Functor: mapping between categories (think of group representations).

• In two dimensions, it is well-known that we can generate the whole theory from the following cobordisms

• Everything should depend only on "topology"!

Commutative Frobenius algebra

- What happens in three dimensions?
- \cdot Three manifolds are much harder to study. Also, objects of $3Cob$ are closed, oriented surfaces, with much richer structure than S^1 .

- The main character in our story will be torus, so lets focus on it. First, defne a mapping class group as the group of orientation preserving homeomorphisms modulo isotopy.
- It is a well known fact that, for torus, this group is $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$.

• Righthanded Dehn twists on a:

• Matrix representation

$$
D_a := \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad D_b := \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{array} \right)
$$

• Another useful set of generators of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$, satisfying $S^2=(ST)^3,S^4=\text{Id}$

$$
S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

- Motivation of our work: faithful TQFT. In dimension one, almost all TQFTs are faithful [Telebaković Onić '20]. In two dimensions, there exists a faithful TQFT [Telebaković Onić, Petrić, Gajović '20]. What happens in three dimensions?
- Part of this story is well-known: extended TQFT. From physical perspective, they are interesting and important. Mathematically, they are based on a structure of a modular tensor category. Example: Reshetikin-Turaev (RT) TQFT.
- Unfortunately, those TQFTs are not faithful [Funar '12], there exist some torus bundles thar are not distinguished by RT invariants. We therefore seek to fnd some representations of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ that are not connected with MTC.
- Actually, similar to the clasification of 2D TQFTs in terms of Frobenious algebras, 3D TQFTs can be clasifed using J algebras [Juhasz '18]. However, J algebras are much harder to work with and we therefore seek to defne a restricted TQFT.
- We consider only a subcategory of **3Cob**. Today, we focus on β MCG.

• General hint: thick torus!

- Here we have: the identity, the pairing β , the copairing γ and the mapping cylinder.
- Examples of representations of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ that are able to distinguish between torus bundles:

$$
S = \begin{pmatrix}\n1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 &
$$

Construction of restricted TQFT

• Examples of commutative Frobenius algebras: centres of group algebra! For D_8 group, we get the following multiplication.

•

• We take the following representation for MCG:

$$
D_{a} = \begin{pmatrix}\n0 & 0 & -\frac{e^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}ie^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{e^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}} & 0 & -i\sqrt{2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}} & -\frac{e^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}}}{\sqrt{2}} \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}} & e^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}} & 0 & -\frac{ie^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -i\sqrt{2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}} & 0 & -2e^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}} & -ie^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}} \\
0 & -\frac{ie^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}}}{2\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{ie^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{e^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}}}{2\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}ie^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}} & 0\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
D_b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{2}e^{-6} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}} & 0 & -\frac{ie^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ -\frac{e^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}}}{2\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & e^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}} & 0 & -i\sqrt{2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}} & 0 & -\frac{ie^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{e^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{2}ie^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}} & 0 & 0 & -i\sqrt{2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}} & 0 & -2e^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{ie^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}ie^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{e^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}}}{2\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{4}ie^{-\frac{i\pi}{6}} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

• We indeed have a restricted TQFT. Moreover, consider two torus bundles, determined by two matrices

$$
A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 21 \\ 21 & 442 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 106 & 189 \\ 189 & 337 \end{pmatrix}
$$

• We can easily calculate that we have

$$
1 = \beta \circ (\rho(A) \otimes \mathbf{1}) \circ \gamma \neq \beta \circ (\rho(B) \otimes \mathbf{1}) \circ \gamma = 2
$$

• We can distinguish between two torus bundles that are not distinguished by RT invariants.

- Along these lines, we wish to introduce the unit ε and the counit η (resulting in $\beta e{\bf MCG}$ category, where lens spaces play a role), and finally multiplications and comultiplications (resulting in a $\mu\epsilon\mathbf{MCG}$ category).
- In the former case, one can show that the aditional relations are

$$
\beta \circ (1 \otimes \varepsilon) = \eta
$$

$$
D_a \circ \varepsilon = \varepsilon
$$

• The way we construct those restricted TQFT's is similar to a dimensioanl reduction from three to two dimensions, but with one important difference. We do not assume that our spacetime is of the form $\Sigma\times S^1$, but rather we have a nontrivial a la Hopf fibration.

Thank you for your attention!